

ATTACHED: PLEASE TRY NOT TO
REMOVE FROM DOCUMENTS THANKS...

ER 86-0759
DDi 86-00990x

IMMEDIForm
3-65 160c**ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP**

4 March 1986

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)	Initials	Date
1. DDI		
2. Executive Registry D/OCA	cmj	4 MAR 1986
3. DDCI		
4. ADCI <i>← m</i>	jnm	4 MAR 1986
5. D/OCA - for mailing/delivery		

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

ADCI 3/4

If I read DCI's note correctly, he wanted original draft cleaned up and send to Addebb. If you send it the same way, and enclose with new version, we'll auto pen and send.

4 MAR 1986

DCI
EXEC
REG

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, dissents, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)Douglas J. MacEachin
D/SOVARoom No.—Bldg.
4E58 Hqts.

5041-102

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

ER

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 20505

DRI- DD990A-86

04 MAR 1986

The Honorable Joseph P. Addabbo
Chairman
Subcommittee on Defense
Committee on Appropriations
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In the Worldwide Brief, US and Soviet weapons acquisitions were compared for the period 1974-1984. If the period is extended to include 1985, the ratios given in the briefing do not change. Even though US production increased substantially in several categories, Soviet production also continued at its earlier rate so that the overall effect of increased US output on the long-term trend was very small. Although we lack US data for planned weapons production in 1986, our projections of Soviet weapon output suggests most of the ratios will continue at the reported levels through 1986. (S)

Sincerely,

/s/ William J. Casey

William J. Casey
Director of Central Intelligence



CL BY SIGNER
DECL OADR

~~SECRET~~

B-808-JR

SUBJECT: DCI Letter to Congressman Addabbo

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee

- 1 - DCI
- 1 - DDCI
- 1 - DDI
- 1 - D/OCA
- 1 - D/SOVA
- 1 - NIO/SP
- 1 - D/OSWR
- 1 - Executive Secretariat
- 1 - SOVA/DEIG
- 1 - SOVA/DEIG/DED

25X1

DDI/SOVA/DEIG/DED (4 March 1986)

CL BY SIGNER
DECL OADR

SECRET

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT **ROUTING SLIP**

TO:

		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI		X		
2	DDCI		X		
3	EXDIR				
4	D/ICS				
5	DDI	X			
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	DDS&T				
9	Chm/NIC				
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/OLL		X		
14	D/PAO				
15	D/PERS				
16	VC/NIC				
17	D/SOVA		X		
18	NIO/SP		X		
19	D/OSWR		X		
20	ES		X		
21					
22					

SUSPENSE 1500, 24 Feb 86
Date

Remarks To # 5: Please have requested information provided.

Executive Secretary
01 Feb 86

Date

3637 (10-81)

Executive Registry

86- 0759

21 February 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Soviet Analysis, DI

FROM: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

If possible, I would like to have sometime Monday the additional material which Congressman Addabbo requested at Wednesday's briefing on how the comparison of Soviet and US weapons acquisition between 1975-1984 would look if recent appropriation had modernization reflected. You will have to use your best judgment on how to do this. I would think some general language following the table would do it. At the same time take a look at McFarlane's article in last Sunday's Washington Post Outlook Section and let me know how the numbers he uses there impact or reflect or differ from those in the worldwide brief.



William J. Casey

25X1

SECRET

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/12/22 : CIA-RDP88G01116R000600620008-0

Returned to SOVA
28 Feb.

D/SOVA's office
Picked up 1715

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/12/22 : CIA-RDP88G01116R000600620008-0

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

Date

25 Feb 86

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number,
building, Agency/Post)

Initials

Date

1. DDI

2. Executive Registry

3. ~~D/OCA~~

4. DDCI

5. DCI

rec'd 27 Feb.

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

6. D/OCA for mailing/delivery

DCI Letter to Congressman Addabbo

*Don't - Addabbo didn't ask
for connection
for Mr Farlow's date, did he?
I think I raised that with you.
If so, I'd take Mr Farlow's
comment & column out
& send on w/p*

Executive Registry

86-0759/1-

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)

Douglas J. MacEachin

Room No.—Bldg.

4E58 Hqs

D/SOVA

8041-102

☆ GPO : 1983 O - 381-529 (301)

OPTIONAL FORM 42 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

STAT

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 20505

The Honorable Joseph P. Addabbo
Chairman
Subcommittee on Defense
Committee on Appropriations
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In the Worldwide Brief, US and Soviet weapons acquisitions were compared for the period 1974-1984. If the period is extended to include 1985, the ratios given in the briefing do not change. Even though US production increased substantially in several categories, Soviet production also continued at its earlier rate so that the overall effect of increased US output on the long-term trend was very small. Although we lack US data for planned weapons production in 1986, our projections of Soviet weapon output suggests most of the ratios will continue at the reported levels through 1986. (S)

We do not know which weapons Mr. McFarlane was including in the five categories he cited for his comparisons in the article in The Washington Post on 16 February. His fighter numbers apparently include NATO production, for example. The enclosed table shows how we believe US and Soviet weapons acquisition has compared over the past five years--using the comparisons presented in the Worldwide Brief. (S)

Sincerely,

William J. Casey
Director of Central Intelligence

Enclosure:
As Stated

CL BY SIGNER
DECL OADR

SECRET

Ratio of Soviet to US Weapon Procurement, 1981-1985

	<u>CIA</u>	<u>McFarlane</u>
ICBMs and SLBMs	3 to 1	12 to 1*
Fighters	1.5 to 1	2 to 1
Helicopters	2.5 to 1	4 to 1
Field Artillery	6 to 1	5 to 1
Bombers	60 to 1	50 to 1

*McFarlane calls this category "ballistic missiles" but does not define it.

SECRET

SUBJECT: DCI Letter to Congressman Addabbo

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee

- 1 - DCI
- 1 - DDCI
- 1 - DDI
- 1 - D/OLL
- 1 - D/SOVA
- 1 - NIO/SP
- 1 - D/OSWR
- 1 - Executive Secretariat
- 1 - SOVA/DEIG
- 1 - SOVA/DEIG/DED

25X1

DDI/SOVA/DEIG/DE (25 February 1986)

SECRET

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT
ROUTING SLIP

TO:

		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI		X		
2	DDCI		X		
3	EXDIR				
4	D/ICS				
5	DDI	X			
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	DDS&T				
9	Chm/NIC				
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/OLL		X		
14	D/PAO				
15	D/PERS				
16	VC/NIC				
17	D/SOVA		X		
18	NIO/SP		X		
19	D/OSWR		X		
20	ES		X		
(21)	ER				
22					

SUSPENSE 1500, 24 Feb 86
Date

Remarks To # 5: Please have requested
information provided.

Executive Secretary
01 Feb 86

Date

3637 (10-81)

STAT

Executive Registry
86- 0759

21 February 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Soviet Analysis, DI
FROM: Director of Central Intelligence
SUBJECT:

If possible, I would like to have sometime Monday the additional material which Congressman Addabbo requested at Wednesday's briefing on how the comparison of Soviet and US weapons acquisition between 1975-1984 would look if recent appropriation had modernization reflected. You will have to use your best judgment on how to do this. I would think some general language following the table would do it. At the same time take a look at McFarlane's article in last Sunday's Washington Post Outlook Section and let me know how the numbers he uses there impact or reflect or differ from those in the worldwide brief.



William J. Casey



25X1